Reprint

**ISSN 0973-9424** 

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS

(IJMSEA)



www.ascent-journals.com

International J. of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls. (IJMSEA) ISSN 0973-9424, Vol. 17 No. I (June, 2023), pp. 1-7

## **ON RADIAL SYMMETRIC** *n*-SIGRAPHS

## HARSHAVARDHANA<sup>1</sup>, VIJAY<sup>2</sup> AND SOMASHEKAR<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics,
Government First Grade College for Women, Holenarasipur-573 211, India
<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics,
Government Science College, Hassan-573 201, India
<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics,
Government First Grade College, Nanjangud-571 301, India

#### Abstract

An *n*-tuple  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is symmetric, if  $a_k = a_{n-k+1}$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ . Let  $H_n = \{(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) : a_k \in \{+, -\}, a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \le k \le n\}$  be the set of all symmetric *n*-tuples. A symmetric *n*-sigraph (symmetric *n*-marked graph) is an ordered pair  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  ( $S_n = (G, \mu)$ ), where G = (V, E) is a graph called the underlying graph of  $S_n$  and  $\sigma : E \to H_n$  ( $\mu : V \to H_n$ ) is a function. In this paper, we introduced a new notion radial symmetric *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph and its properties are obtained. Also, we obtained the structural characterization of radial symmetric *n*-signed graphs.

## 1. Introduction

Unless mentioned or defined otherwise, for all terminology and notion in graph theory the reader is refer to [1]. We consider only finite, simple graphs free from self-loops.

Key Words and Phrases : Symmetric n-sigraphs, Symmetric n-marked graphs, Balance, Switching, Radial symmetric n-sigraphs, Complementation.

2000 AMS Subject Classification : 05C22.

© http://www.ascent-journals.com

Let  $n \ge 1$  be an integer. An *n*-tuple  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is symmetric, if  $a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \le k \le n$ . Let  $H_n = \{(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) : a_k \in \{+, -\}, a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \le k \le n\}$  be the set of all symmetric *n*-tuples. Note that  $H_n$  is a group under coordinate wise multiplication, and the order of  $H_n$  is  $2^m$ , where  $m = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ .

A symmetric n-sigraph (symmetric n-marked graph) is an ordered pair  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  $(S_n = (G, \mu))$ , where G = (V, E) is a graph called the *underlying graph* of  $S_n$  and  $\sigma : E \to H_n$  ( $\mu : V \to H_n$ ) is a function.

In this paper by an n-tuple/n-sigraph/n-marked graph we always mean a symmetric n-tuple/symmetric n-sigraph/symmetric n-marked graph.

An *n*-tuple  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is the *identity n*-tuple, if  $a_k = +$ , for  $1 \le k \le n$ , otherwise it is a *non-identity n*-tuple. In an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  an edge labelled with the identity *n*-tuple is called an *identity edge*, otherwise it is a *non-identity edge*.

Further, in an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , for any  $A \subseteq E(G)$  the *n*-tuple  $\sigma(A)$  is the product of the *n*-tuples on the edges of A.

In [10], the authors defined two notions of balance in *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  as follows (See also R. Rangarajan and P.S.K.Reddy [6]):

**Definition.** Let  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  be an *n*-sigraph. Then,

- (i)  $S_n$  is *identity balanced* (or *i-balanced*), if product of *n*-tuples on each cycle of  $S_n$  is the identity *n*-tuple, and
- (ii)  $S_n$  is balanced, if every cycle in  $S_n$  contains an even number of non-identity edges.

Note: An *i*-balanced *n*-sigraph need not be balanced and conversely.

The following characterization of i-balanced n-sigraphs is obtained in [10].

**Proposition 1**(*E. Sampathkumar et al.* [10]) : An n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is ibalanced if, and only if, it is possible to assign n-tuples to its vertices such that the n-tuple of each edge uv is equal to the product of the n-tuples of u and v.

Let  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  be an *n*-sigraph. Consider the *n*-marking  $\mu$  on vertices of  $S_n$  defined as follows: each vertex  $v \in V$ ,  $\mu(v)$  is the *n*-tuple which is the product of the *n*-tuples on the edges incident with v. Complement of  $S_n$  is an *n*-sigraph  $\overline{S_n} = (\overline{G}, \sigma^c)$ , where for any edge  $e = uv \in \overline{G}$ ,  $\sigma^c(uv) = \mu(u)\mu(v)$ . Clearly,  $\overline{S_n}$  as defined here is an *i*-balanced *n*-sigraph due to Proposition 1 [12]. Let  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  and  $S'_n = (G', \sigma')$ , be two *n*-sigraphs. Then  $S_n$  and  $S'_n$  are said to be *isomorphic*, if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : G \to G'$  such that if uv is an edge in  $S_n$ with label  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  then  $\phi(u)\phi(v)$  is an edge in  $S'_n$  with label  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ .

Given an *n*-marking  $\mu$  of an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , switching  $S_n$  with respect to  $\mu$  is the operation of changing the *n*-tuple of every edge uv of  $S_n$  by  $\mu(u)\sigma(uv)\mu(v)$ . The *n*sigraph obtained in this way is denoted by  $S_{\mu}(S_n)$  and is called the  $\mu$ -switched *n*-sigraph or just switched *n*-sigraph.

Further, an *n*-sigraph  $S_n$  switches to *n*-sigraph  $S'_n$  (or that they are switching equivalent to each other), written as  $S_n \sim S'_n$ , whenever there exists an *n*-marking of  $S_n$  such that  $S_{\mu}(S_n) \cong S'_n$ .

Two *n*-sigraphs  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  and  $S'_n = (G', \sigma')$  are said to be *cycle isomorphic*, if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : G \to G'$  such that the *n*-tuple  $\sigma(C)$  of every cycle C in  $S_n$  equals to the *n*-tuple  $\sigma(\phi(C))$  in  $S'_n$ .

We make use of the following known result (see [10]).

**Proposition 2** (*E. Sampathkumar et al.* [10]) : Given a graph *G*, any two *n*-sigraphs with *G* as underlying graph are switching equivalent if, and only if, they are cycle isomorphic.

Let  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  be an *n*-sigraph. Consider the *n*-marking  $\mu$  on vertices of S defined as follows: each vertex  $v \in V$ ,  $\mu(v)$  is the product of the *n*-tuples on the edges incident at v. Complement of S is an *n*-sigraph  $\overline{S_n} = (\overline{G}, \sigma')$ , where for any edge  $e = uv \in \overline{G}$ ,  $\sigma'(uv) = \mu(u)\mu(v)$ . Clearly,  $\overline{S_n}$  as defined here is an *i*-balanced *n*-sigraph due to Proposition 1.

## 2. Radial *n*-Sigraph of an *n*-Sigraph

Kathiresan and Marimuthu [2] introduced a new type of graph called radial graph. Two vertices of a graph  $\Gamma$  are said to be radial to each other if the distance between them is equal to the radius of the graph. The radial graph of a graph G, denoted by R(G), has the vertex set as in G and two vertices are adjacent in R(G) if, and only if, they are radial in G. If G is disconnected, then two vertices are adjacent in R(G) if they belong to different components of G. A graph G is called a *radial graph* if  $R(G') = \Gamma$  for some graph G'.

Motivated by the existing definition of complement of an n-sigraph, we extend the notion of radial graphs to n-sigraphs as follows:

The radial n-sigraph  $R(S_n)$  of an n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is an n-sigraph whose underlying graph is R(G) and the n-tuple of any edge uv is  $R(S_n)$  is  $\mu(u)\mu(v)$ , where  $\mu$  is the canonical n-marking of  $S_n$ . Further, an n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is called radial n-sigraph, if  $S_n \cong R(S'_n)$  for some n-sigraph  $S'_n$ . The following result indicates the limitations of the notion  $R(S_n)$  as introduced above, since the entire class of *i*-unbalanced n-sigraphs is forbidden to be radial n-sigraphs.

**Propositiion 3**: For any *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , its radial *n*-sigraph  $R(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced.

**Proof**: Since the *n*-tuple of any edge uv in  $R(S_n)$  is  $\mu(u)\mu(v)$ , where  $\mu$  is the canonical *n*-marking of  $S_n$ , by Proposition 1,  $R(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced.

For any positive integer k, the  $k^{th}$  iterated radial *n*-sigraph  $R(S_n)$  of  $S_n$  is defined as follows:

$$(R)^{0}(S_{n}) = S_{n}, (R)k(S_{n}) = R((R)^{k-1}(S_{n}))$$

**Corollary 4**: For any *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  and any positive integer k,  $(R)^k(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced.

The following result characterize *n*-sigraphs which are radial *n*-sigraphs.

**Theorem 5**: An *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is a radial *n*-sigraph if, and only if,  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced *n*-sigraph and its underlying graph G is a radial graph.

**Proof**: Suppose that  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced and G is a R(G). Then there exists a graph H such that  $R(H) \cong G$ . Since  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced, by Proposition 1, there exists an *n*-marking  $\mu$  of G such that each edge uv in  $S_n$  satisfies  $\sigma(uv) = \mu(u)\mu(v)$ . Now consider the *n*-sigraph  $S'_n = (H, \sigma')$ , where for any edge e in H,  $\sigma'(e)$  is the *n*-marking of the corresponding vertex in G. Then clearly,  $R(S'_n) \cong S_n$ . Hence  $S_n$  is a radial *n*-sigraph.

Conversely, suppose that  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is a radial *n*-sigraph. Then there exists an *n*-sigraph  $S'_n = (H, \sigma')$  such that  $R(S'_n) \cong S_n$ . Hence G is the R(G) of H and by Proposition 3,  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced.

The following result characterizes the n-sigraphs which are isomorphic to radial n-sigraphs. In case of graphs the following result is due to Kathiresan and Marimuthu [3]:

**Theorem 6**: Let G = (V, E) be a graph of order *n*. Then  $R(G) \cong G$  if, and only if, *G* is a connected graph with r(G) = d(G) = 1 or r(G) = 1 and d(G) = 2.

**Theorem 7**: For any *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , the radial *n*-sigraph  $R(S_n)$  and  $S_n$  are switching equivalent if, and only if,  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced *n*-sigraph and the underlying Gwith r(G) = d(G) = 1 or r(G) = 1 and d(G) = 2.

**Proof**: Suppose  $S_n \sim R(S_n)$ . This implies,  $G \cong R(G)$  and hence G is a graph with r(G) = d(G) = 1 or r(G) = 1 and d(G) = 2, Proposition 3 implies that  $R(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced and hence if  $S_n$  is *i*-unbalanced and its  $R(S_n)$  being *i*-balanced can not be switching equivalent to  $S_n$  in accordance with Proposition 2. Therefore,  $S_n$  must be *i*-balanced.

Conversely, suppose that  $S_n$  is an *i*-balanced *n*-sigraph and its undrelying G with r(G) = d(G) = 1 or r(G) = 1 and d(G) = 2. Then, since  $R(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced as per Proposition 3 and since  $G \cong R(G)$ , the result follows from Proposition 2 again. In [3], the authors characterize the graphs for which  $R(G) \cong \overline{G}$ .

**Theorem 8**: Let G be a graph of order n. Then  $R(G) \cong \overline{G}$  if, and only if, either  $S_2(G) = V(G)$  or G is disconnected in which each component is complete.

In view of the above result, we have the following result that characterizes the family of *n*-sigraphs satisfies  $R(S_n) \sim \overline{S_n}$ .

**Theorem 9**: For any *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ ,  $R(S_n) \sim \overline{S_n}$  if, and only if, either  $S_2(G) = V(G)$  or G is disconnected in which each component is complete.

**Proof**: Suppose that  $R(S_n) \sim \overline{S_n}$ . Then clearly,  $R(G) \cong \overline{G}$ . Hence by Theorem 8, G is either  $S_2(G) = V(G)$  or disconnected in which each component is complete.

Conversely, suppose that  $S_n$  is an *n*-sigraph whose underlying graph is either  $S_2(G) = V(G)$  or G is disconnected in which each component is complete. Then by Theorem 8,  $R(G) \cong \overline{G}$ . Since for any *n*-sigraph  $S_n$ , both  $R(S_n)$  and  $\overline{S_n}$  are *i*-balanced, the result follows by Proposition 2.

The following result due to Kathiresan and Marimuthu [3] gives a characterization of graphs for which  $R(G) \sim R(\overline{G})$ .

**Theorem 10**: Let G be a graph. Then  $R(G) \sim R(\overline{G})$  if, and only if, G satisfies any one the following conditions:

i. G or  $\overline{G}$  is complete.

ii. G or  $\overline{G}$  is disconnected with each component complete out of which one is an isolated vertex.

We now give a characterization of n-sigraphs whose radial n-sigraphs are switching equivalent to their radial n-sigraph of complementary n-sigraphs.

**Theorem 11**: For any *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ ,  $R(S_n) \sim R(\overline{S_n})$  if, and only if, G satisfies the conditions of Theorem 10.

**Theorem 12**: For any two  $S_n$  and  $S'_n$  with the same underlying graph, their radial *n*-sigraphs are switching equivalent.

For any  $m \in H_n$ , the *m*-complement of  $a = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is:  $a^m = am$ . For any  $M \subseteq H_n$ , and  $m \in H_n$ , the *m*-complement of M is  $M^m = \{a^m : a \in M\}$ .

For any  $m \in H_n$ , the *m*-complement of an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , written  $(S_n^m)$ , is the same graph but with each edge label  $a = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  replaced by  $a^m$ .

For an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , the  $R(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced. We now examine, the condition under which *m*-complement of  $R(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced, where for any  $m \in H_n$ .

**Proposition 13**: Let  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  be an *n*-sigraph. Then, for any  $m \in H_n$ , if R(G) is bipartite then  $(R(S_n))^m$  is *i*-balanced.

**Proof**: Since, by Proposition 3,  $R(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced, for each  $k, 1 \le k \le n$ , the number of *n*-tuples on any cycle C in  $R(S_n)$  whose  $k^{th}$  co-ordinate are - is even. Also, since R(G) is bipartite, all cycles have even length; thus, for each  $k, 1 \le k \le n$ , the number of *n*-tuples on any cycle C in  $R(S_n)$  whose  $k^{th}$  co-ordinate are + is also even. This implies that the same thing is true in any *m*-complement, where for any  $m, \in H_n$ . Hence  $(R(S_n))^t$  is *i*-balanced.  $\Box$ 

### References

- [1] Harary F., Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., (1969).
- [2] Kathiresan K. M. and Marimuthu G., A study on radial graphs, Ars Combin., 96 (2010), 353-360.
- [3] Kathiresan K. M. and Marimuthu G., Further results on radial graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, 30(1) (2010), 75-83.
- [4] Lokesha V., Reddy P. S. K. and Vijay S., The triangular line n-sigraph of a symmetric n-sigraph, Advn. Stud. Contemp. Math., 19(1) (2009), 123-129.
- [5] Palathingal J. J. and Aparna Lakshmanan S., Gallai and anti-Gallai graph operators, Electron. Notes Discrete Math., 63(2017), 447-453.

- [6] Rangarajan R. and Reddy P. S. K., Notions of balance in symmetric n-sigraphs, Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc., 11(2) (2008), 145-151.
- [7] Rangarajan R., Reddy P. S. K. and Subramanya M. S., Switching Equivalence in Symmetric n-Sigraphs, Adv. Stud. Comtemp. Math., 18(1) (2009), 79-85.
  R.
- [8] Rangarajan R., Reddy P. S. K. and Soner N. D., Switching equivalence in symmetric n-sigraphs-II, J. Orissa Math. Sco., 28 (1 & 2) (2009), 1-12.
- [9] Rangarajan R., Reddy P. S. K. and Soner N. D., m<sup>th</sup> Power Symmetric n-Sigraphs, Italian Journal of Pure & Applied Mathematics, 29 (2012), 87-92.
- [10] Sampathkumar E., Reddy P. S. K., and Subramanya M. S., Jump symmetric *n*-sigraph, Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc., 11(1) (2008), 89-95.
- [11] SampathkumarE., Reddy P. S. K., and Subramanya M. S., The Line *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 34(5) (2010), 953-958.
- [12] Reddy P. S. K. and Prashanth B., Switching equivalence in symmetric nsigraphs-I, Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics, 4(1) (2009), 25-32.
- [13] Reddy P. S. K., Vijay S. and Prashanth B., The edge  $C_4$  *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph, Int. Journal of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls., 3(2) (2009), 21-27.
- [14] Reddy P. S. K., V. Lokesha and Gurunath Rao Vaidya, The Line *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph-II, Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc., 13(3) (2010), 305-312.
- [15] Reddy P. S. K., Lokesha V. and Gurunath Rao Vaidya, The Line *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph-III, Int. J. Open Problems in Computer Science and Mathematics, 3(5) (2010), 172-178.
- [16] Reddy P. S. K., Lokesha V. and Gurunath Rao Vaidya, Switching equivalence in symmetric *n*-sigraphs-III, Int. Journal of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls., 5(1) (2011), 95-101.
- [17] Reddy P. S. K., Prashanth B. and Kavita S. Permi, A Note on Switching in Symmetric *n*-Sigraphs, Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics, 17(3) (2011), 22-25.
- [18] Reddy P. S. K., Geetha M. C. and Rajanna K. R., Switching Equivalence in Symmetric n-Sigraphs-IV, Scientia Magna, 7(3) (2011), 34-38.
- [19] Reddy P. Siva Kota, Nagaraja K. M. and Geetha M. C., The Line n-sigraph of a symmetric n-sigraph-IV, International J. Math. Combin., 1 (2012), 106-112.
- [20] Reddy P. S. K., Geetha M. C. and Rajanna K. R., Switching equivalence in symmetric n-sigraphs-V, International J. Math. Combin., 3 (2012), 58-63.
- [21] Reddy P. S. K., Nagaraja K. M. and Geetha M. C., The Line n-sigraph of a symmetric n-sigraph-V, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, 54(1) (2014), 95-101.
- [22] Reddy P. S. K., Rajendra R. and Geetha M. C., Boundary n-Signed Graphs, Int. Journal of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls., 10(2) (2016), 161-168.